

BENEFIT DISTRICT APPLICATION FORM

Applicant: Phone No				
Addr	ess:			
Engiı	Engineer: Phone No			
Addr	ess:			
Does	petition meet the following filing requirements:			
1.	Description of proposed public improvements.	YES	NO	
2.	Improvement district boundaries.			
	(a) Legal description of improvement district boundaries	\circ	\circ	
	(b) Improvement district boundary map attached.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
3.	Are total improvement costs shown on petition?	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
	(a) Attach itemized cost breakdown for construction.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
	(b) Attach itemized cost breakdown for right of way.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
	(c) Attach itemized cost breakdown for utility mitigation.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
4.	Is proposed method of assessment shown?	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
	(a) Attach sheet showing preliminary assessment on each piece of property.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
5.	Is apportionment of cost shown?	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
	(a) Attach worksheet showing how cost apportionment was determined.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
6.	Name and address of all property owners with:			
	(a) Legal description of each piece or tract.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
	(b) Assessable square footage or front footage.	\circ	0	

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENT

YES N	Ю			
\bigcirc (STREET – From _ of inch	asphalt co	to	with lanes
\bigcirc (WATER –li	neal feet of inch		(material type)
\bigcirc (SANITARY SEWE (material type)	ER – lineal feet of	f inch	
\bigcirc (STORM SEWER – type)	lineal feet of	inch	(material
\bigcirc (ELECTRIC –	lineal feet of	overhead	underground power
General I	Location and Description o	f Improvements:		
	eas in Proposed Benefit Di		NO	
	ding in Proposed Benefit Γ		NO	
Number o	of Tracts, Parcels or Lots in	n District:		
Number o	of Tracts, Parcels or Lots S	igned:		
Total Sq.	Ft. in District Excluding P	rublic R.O.W.:		
Total Fro	nt Footage (If Applicable):			
Right of V	Way or Easements Require	d: YES	NO	
Right of V	Way or Easements Dedicat	ed: YES	NO	
Proposed	Method of Assessment:			
\bigcirc	Front Footage	Cost per F.F.		
\circ	Square Footage	Cost per S.F.		
Estimate	ed Cost of Public Improven	nent:		

2 5/25/2017

Estimated Engineering Design Time:	Years	Months
Estimated Date to Begin Construction:		
Estimated Completion Date:		

ESTIMATED OR PROBABLE COST SHEET

Project Name:		Project Number:		
Prepa	red By:	Date:		
(A)	Estimated Construction Time:	Months		
(B)	Number of Parcels			
(C)	Engineer Petition Preparation Fee	\$		
(D)	Appraisal Costs	\$		
(E)	Other:	\$		
(F)	Estimated or Probable Construction Cost	\$		
(G)	Land Acquisition Costs	\$		
(H)	Utility Mitigation (Attach Itemized List & Cost)	\$		
	Fill in information above $(A - H)$. The numbers below are automatically calculated as $A = A + A + A + A + A + A + A + A + A + $	ated.		
(I)	Reserve for Construction Timing 1% Per Month [1% x (F) x Total Months (A)]	\$		
(J)	$SUBTOTAL-CONSTRUCTION\ COST\ [(F)+(I)]$	\$		
(K)	$TOTAL-CONSTRUCTION\ COST\ [(J)+(G)+(H)]$	\$		
(L)	Interim Financing [8% of (K) per year for each year of construction time)	\$		
(M)	Temporary Note Issuance Cost [.5% x (K) of Total-Min. \$1,500]	\$		
(N)	Engineering [15% of (K)]	\$		
(O)	Engineer Petition Preparation Fee [from line C above]	\$		
(P)	Inspection [5% of (K)]	\$		
(Q)	Legal Notice (Set at \$200)	\$200		
(R)	Certificates of Title [\$20 per parcel (B)]	\$		

(S)	Tax Roll Certification [\$5 per parcel (B)]	\$
(T)	Project Management Cost [5% x (K) Construction Cost Total]	\$
(U)	Appraisal Costs [from line (D) above]	\$
(V)	Bond Issuance Cost [1.75% x (K) Construction Cost Total]	\$
(W)	Reserve for Contingency [10% x (K) Construction Cost Total]	\$
(X)	Other [from Line (E) above]	\$
(Y)	SUBTOTAL – PROJECT COST [Sum of lines (K) through (X)]	\$
(Z)	City Petition Fee (Set at \$4,000)	\$ 4,000
(AA)	Indirect Costs [4% of Subtotal (Y)]	\$
(BB)	City Petition Fee plus Indirect Costs [(Z) + (AA)]	\$
(CC)	TOTAL – ESTIMATED OR PROBABLE PROJECT COSTS [(Y) + (BB)]	 \$

5 5/25/2017

PETITION FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

TO:	The Governing Body of the City of Eudora, Kansas:		
1.	We, the undersigned, being owners of record of property liable for assessment for the following proposed improvements:		
	hereby propose that such improvement be made in the manner provided by K.S.A. 12- 6a01, et seq.		
2.	The estimated or probable cost of such improvement is: (\$)		
3.	The boundary of the proposed improvement district to be assessed is legally described as follows:		
4.	The proposed method of assessment for the improvement is:		
5.	The proposed apportionment of costs between the improvement district and the city-at-large is		
	percent (%) to be assessed against the improvement district and		
	percent (%) to be paid by the city-at-large.		
6.	We further propose that such improvement be made without notice and hearing as required by K.S.A. 12-6a04 (a).		
7.	Names <u>may not</u> be withdrawn from this petition by the signers hereof after the Governing Body commences consideration of the petition, or later than seven (7) days after this petition is filed, whichever occurs first.		
8.	We hereby agree that all costs incurred for the preparation, administration, engineering fees, etc. shall be assessed against the improvement district and city-at-large based upon		

6 5/25/2017

- the method of assessment and the apportionment of costs described herein regardless of the completion of the construction of the improvement.
- 9. Petitioners signing for the public improvement hereby agree that if in the event there is property in the improvement district that is outside the corporate limits of the city of Eudora, the owners of such property will petition for annexation prior to the time the governing body of the city of Eudora considers approval of the public improvement.
- 10. When applicable, any petitioner signing for a public improvement hereby agrees to dedicate or convey the necessary easements to accommodate said improvement.
- 11. Signed right-of-way donations and any necessary easements based upon the preliminary construction plan shall be provided prior to publication of the resolution approving the benefit district for any portion of the benefit district which cost is assessed against the improvement district and not paid by the city at large. If a property owner refuses to donate land for right-of-way for any portion of the benefit district which cost is assessed against the improvement district and not paid by the city-at-large, the Governing Body will assess all costs of purchasing right-of-way for that property upon the non-donating property owner pursuant to K.S.A. 12-692 and city policy.
- 12. We further propose that the improvement be assessed prior to construction pursuant to the authority of K.S.A. 12-6a09(c).
- 13. Petitioners certify that they have no financial interest in any property with delinquent special assessments, ad valorem taxes, or other federal or state tax liens anywhere within the state of Kansas.
- 14. Property within a benefit district may be platted or otherwise split into multiple parcels after a benefit district is created by the Governing Body. In connection with the filing of a plat or other request for a property split, 100% of the property owners subject to the split must file with the City a petition consenting to reallocation of special assessments against such property. The petition must specify the method for reallocation, and the specified method must comply with the resolution of the Governing Body creating the benefit district.

7

5/25/2017

PETITION SIGNATURE SHEET

Owner:	
Address:	
Legal description of property owned within i	improvement district:
Estimated assessable (sq. ft. or front foot) in	district
Estimated Assessment Amount \$	_
Date:	Signature:
Time:	Print Name:
**********	************
Owner:	
Address:	
Legal description of property owned within i	improvement district:
Estimated assessable (sq. ft. or front foot) in	district
Estimated Assessment Amount \$	_
Date:	Signature:
Time:	Print Name: